ıılıılı cısco

IPv6 Multihoming from the IETF perspective

Eric Vyncke, evyncke@cisco.com, @evyncke Distinguished Engineer, Paris Innovation & Research Lab December 2017

Agenda

- Problem statement
- Provisioning the host
- Routing to the multi-home exit
- Demo (time allowing)

Problem statement

Hosts and networks are multi-homed

Just a few examples...



intarea WG IETF 99



© 2017 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. Cisco Public

intarea WG IETF 99

Addressing in Multi-Homed Networks in IPv6

- Assign Provider Assigned (PA) addresses to hosts.
 - Native to IPv6 hosts (RFC4861, ...)
 - HNCP for home networks (RFC7788)
 - draft-ietf-rtgwg-enterprise-pa-multihoming for corporate networks.
- Teach the hosts to pick and use multiple addresses.
 - IPv6 source address selection (RFC6724)
 - Multi-Path TCP (RFC6824), SCTP, QUIC, ...
- Give the host meaningful information about the addresses.

Bundling IP address & DNS resolver

Multihoming and CDNs

- Name lookups for resources stored on CDNs give different answers depending on the network connection
- Host on homenet may look up name using resolver from provider A, then connect to CDN using provider B
- This will generate support requests
- What to do?

Ted Lemon, Homenet WG, IETF-99

© 2017 Ci





© 2017 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. Cisco Public Cisco Public

Selecting the Service by Source Address



Provisioning the host

- How can the host discover all network prefixes and services?
- At the network and application layers

intarea Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: May 3, 2018 P. Pfister E. Vyncke, Ed. Cisco T. Pauly D. Schinazi Apple M. Keane Microsoft October 30, 2017

Discovering Provisioning Domain Names and Data draft-ietf-intarea-provisioning-domains-00

© 2017 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rig

draft-ietf-intarea-provisioning-domains

1. Identify Provisioning Domains (PvDs)

[RFC7556] *Provisioning Domains (PvDs) are consistent sets of network properties that can be implicit, or advertised explicitly.*

Differentiate provisioning domains by using FQDN identifiers.

2. Extend PvD with additional information

For the applications: name, characteristics, captive portal, etc...

Step 1: Identify PvDs

With the PvD ID Router Advertisement Option

0 1 3 9 0 0 1 HL Length Type Sequence PVD ID FODN Padding PvD ID Router Advertisements Option format

- At most one occurrence in each RA.
- PvD ID is an FQDN associated with options in the RA.
- H bit to indicate Additional Information is available with HTTPS.
- L bit to indicate the PvD has DHCPv4 on the link.
- Seq. number used for **push-based refresh**.

Step 1b: Identifying PvD (Cont.)

- Information in a RA without PvD ID is linked to an implicit PvD (identified by interface & link-local address of router)
- Option in RA can change of PvD when they are received in a RA with a different PvD ID
- DHCPv6 information MUST be associated to a PvD ID received on the same interface from the same link-local address

Step 2: Get the PvD Additional Application Data



When the H bit is set: GET https://<pvd-id>/.well-known/pvd

Using network configuration (source address, default route, DNS, etc...) associated with the received PvD.

Step 2: Get the PvD Additional Data

```
{
    "name": "Foo Wireless",
    "expires": "2017-07-23T06:00:00Z",
    "prefixes" : ["2001:db8:1::/48", "2001:db8:4::/48"],
    "localizedName": "Foo-Hôtel à Paris Wifi",
    "dnsZones": ["example.com","sub.example.com"];
    "characteristics": {
        "maxThroughput": { "down":200000, "up": 50000 },
        "minLatency": { "down": 0.1, "up": 1 }
    }
}
```

Some other examples (see also <u>https://smart.mpvd.io/.well-known/pvd</u>):
noInternet : true,
metered : true,
captivePortalURL : "https://captive.org/foo.html"

Implementation status

Linux - https://github.com/IPv6-mPvD

- **pvdd**: user-space daemon managing PvD IDs and additional data
- Linux Kernel patch for RA processing
- iproute tool patch to display PvD IDs
- Wireshark dissector
- RADVD and ODHCPD sending PvD ID

Implemented in one commercial vendor router

neət

A New, Evolutive API and Transport-Layer Architecture for the Internet: <u>https://www.neat-project.org/</u>

European H-2020 project 10 partners (Cisco, Mozilla, EMC, Celerway...)

Integration to NEAT code: <u>https://github.com/NEAT-project/neat/pull/80</u>



© 2017 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. Cisco Public

Asking the user to choose with relevant criteria and simple UI



Source Address Dependent Routing (SADR)

• Forwarding based on the SOURCE rather than the destination as usual?

 Based on source scoped Forwarding Information Base (FIB)

rtgwg Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: May 3, 2018 D. Lamparter NetDEF A. Smirnov Cisco Systems, Inc. October 30, 2017

Destination/Source Routing draft-ietf-rtgwg-dst-src-routing-06

© 2017 Cisco an

SADR in a nutshell

- All FIB entries are associated with a source prefix
 - ::/0 for entries without a source prefix
- draft-ietf-rtgwg-dst-src-routing
- Algorithm
 - 1. PotentialRoutes :=Longest match(es) on destination prefix
 - SourceRoute := longest match on the packet source in the PotentialRoutes
 - 3. If not found, then back to 1) with a shorter match

• Other implementations are possible

Trivial SADR Example

• SADR FIB

Source	Destination	Next - Hop
::/0	::/0	R3
2001:db8::/32	::/0	R3
2001:db8:2::/64	::/0	R4

- Packet SRC = 2001:db8:1::1 to DST = 2001:db8:cafe::babe
- Packet SRC = 2001:db8:2::1 to DST = 2001:db8:cafe::babe

Incremental Deployment

- SADR only on edge routers
- Best effort forwarding:
 - R3 can have a SADR route to R4 for ISP2 source prefix
- SADR on R1 / R6 would only improve
- If R3 and R4 are not adjacent, then SRv6 (or a tunnel) is required



Summary of SADR for multi-homing

- SADR allows network to send packets to the "right" egress point
- SADR can be deployed incrementally
 - MUST be enabled on the edge
 - Tunnels may be required until complete deployments
- Routing protocols can be extended to SADR

Demo Time

• Only if time is available

 Source Address Dependent Routing

• Provisioning and Captive portal

© 2017 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. Cisco Confidential

Conclusion

- Multi-homing in IPv6 is vastly different than in IPv4
- Several addresses per interface
- Several interfaces per host in 2017
- Host must select the right bundle of DNS, address, next hop
- Network must route according to the host-selected address
- Implementations exist
- Huge momentum at IETF

·IIIII CISCO